

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

Latania Walton,)	
)	
<i>Plaintiff,</i>)	
-vs-)	No. 09 CV _____
)	
Thomas J. Dart, Sheriff of Cook)	<i>(jury demand)</i>
County, and Cook County, Illinois)	
)	
<i>Defendants.</i>)	

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, by counsel, alleges as follows:

1. This is a civil action arising under 42 U.S.C. §1983. The jurisdiction of this Court is conferred by 28 U.S.C. §1343 and 28 U.S.C. §1367.
2. Plaintiff Latania Walton is a female resident of the Northern District of Illinois and is among the persons included within the plaintiff class proposed in *Zaborowski v. Sheriff of Cook County*, 08 CV 6946.
3. Defendants are Thomas J. Dart, Sheriff of Cook County and Cook County, Illinois. Plaintiff sues Dart in his individual and in his official capacity; plaintiff joins Cook County pursuant to *Carver v. Sheriff of La-Salle County*, 324 F. 3d 947 (7th Cir. 2003).
4. At all times relevant, defendant Dart has enforced an official policy (hereinafter “shackling policy”) requiring correctional officers to

shackle pregnant woman, who are in the custody of the Sheriff of Cook County, during and immediately after labor and delivery.

5. Defendant Dart's shackling policy implements a barbaric practice that needlessly inflicts excruciating pain and humiliation:

- a. Shackling a pregnant woman during and immediately after the birthing process poses an obvious and substantial risk of harm to the woman's health and safety.
- b. A pregnant woman who is shackled during the birthing process will often soil her bedsheets, causing actual discomfort and humiliation and subjecting the woman to a serious risk of infection.
- c. A woman who is shackled during labor is unable to move to allay the pains of labor, and will often incur bruising from the shackles and experience a loss of dignity.

6. Defendant Dart has enforced his shackling policy in willful and wanton disregard of the laws of the State of Illinois: At all times relevant, there has been in force and effect an Illinois statute, compiled as 55 ILCS 5/3-15003.6, that provides as follows:

Pregnant female prisoners. Notwithstanding any other statute, directive, or administrative regulation, when a pregnant female prisoner is brought to a hospital from a County Department of Corrections facility for the purpose of delivering

her baby, no handcuffs, shackles, or restraints of any kind may be used during her transport to a medical facility for the purpose of delivering her baby. Under no circumstances may leg irons or shackles or waist shackles be used on any pregnant female prisoner who is in labor. Upon the pregnant prisoner's entry to the hospital delivery room, a county correctional officer must be posted immediately outside the delivery room. The Sheriff must provide for adequate personnel to monitor the pregnant female prisoner during her transport to and from the hospital and during her stay at the hospital.

7. Defendant Dart has enforced his shackling policy in deliberate indifference to the laws of the United States:

a. Defendant Dart's shackling policy violates the *Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment* (the "Torture Convention"), G.A. Res. 46, 39 U.N. GAOR Supp. (No. 51), U.N. Doc. A/39/51 (1984). The United States ratified this treaty in 1994.

b. Defendant Dart's shackling policy also violates the *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights* (the "ICCPR"), GA res. 2200A (XXI), 21 U.N. GAOR Supp. (No. 16) at art. 7, U.N. Doc. A/6316 (1966). The United States ratified this treaty in 1992.

8. Defendant Dart has enforced his shackling policy in deliberate indifference to rights secured by the Fourth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States.

9. Plaintiff was admitted to the Cook County Jail as a pre-trial detainee on or about August 9, 2008.

10. Plaintiff was pregnant when she was admitted to the jail.

11. Plaintiff was transported to Stroger Hospital from the Cook County Jail on August 26, 2008 at the direction of a physician at the jail.

12. As required by defendant Dart's "shackling policy," a Deputy Sheriff shackled plaintiff by hand and foot while she was being transported to the hospital.

13. Plaintiff remained at Stroger Hospital until August 29, 2008.

14. As required by defendant Dart's "shackling policy," a Deputy Sheriff required plaintiff to remain shackled to a hospital bed until shortly before she delivered her child.

15. As required by defendant Dart's "shackling policy," a Deputy Sheriff re-shackled plaintiff following the birth of her child.

16. As required by defendant Dart's "shackling policy," a Deputy Sheriff required plaintiff to remain shackled other than when she was feeding her child until she left Stroger Hospital.

17. Following her discharge from Stroger Hospital, and as required by Dart's "shackling policy," plaintiff was shackled while a Deputy Sheriff returned plaintiff to the Cook County Jail.

18. As a direct and proximate result of defendant Dart's shackling policy, plaintiff was unreasonably restrained, subjected to punishment, incurred great emotional and physical pain and suffering.

19. Plaintiff hereby demands trial by jury.

Wherefore plaintiff requests that judgment be entered in her favor against defendant Dart in an amount in excess of one hundred thousand dollars as compensatory damages and in an amount in excess of one hundred thousand dollars as punitive damages, and that the costs of this action, including reasonable attorney's fees, be taxed against defendant Cook County.

/s/ Kenneth N. Flaxman
Kenneth N. Flaxman
ARDC 0830399
200 S. Michigan, Ste 1240
Chicago, IL. 60604-2430
(312) 427-3200

Thomas G. Morrissey, Ltd.
ARDC 3125372
10249 S. Western Ave.
Chicago, IL. 60643
(773) 233-7900
Attorneys for Plaintiff